WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

ICT	TOIC	\bigcirc E	A DIZ	'ONA
 	TIL. [ARI	LIVA

.1111	V 1	7	2009

RECEIVED

COPY

CLERK U S DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

DATE: 6-17-09

	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
/	Aneal Cervantes-Torres	Case Number: 09-3249M		
and was re	ance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § epresented by counsel. I conclude by a proof the defendant pending trial in this case	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 6/17/09. Defendant was present eponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and order the .		
		FINDINGS OF FACT		
•	preponderance of the evidence that:			
×		e United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
×		narged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
×	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		
	-	ontacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in to assure his/her future appearance	the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated e.		
	The defendant has a prior criminal	history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexic	00.		
	The defendant is an amnesty app substantial family ties to Mexico.	licant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has		
	There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade	law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximur	m of years imprisonment.		
TI at the time	ne Court incorporates by reference the ma e of the hearing in this matter, except as r	aterial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court noted in the record.		
		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defe	endant will flee. ditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.		
	DIREC	TIONS REGARDING DETENTION		
a correction appeal. To of the Unit	ons facility separate, to the extent practical he defendant shall be afforded a reasonal ted States or on request of an attorney for	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in ole, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending ole opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the cose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.		
	APPEA	LS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE		
deliver a d Court. Pu	copy of the motion for review/reconsiderati ursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., ef f a copy of this order or after the oral orde	nis detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to ion to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District fective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of its stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the cordance with Rule 59(a) will waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.		
Services	IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.	e to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial ore the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and		

Lawrence O. Anderson United States Magistrate Judge